

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/661,5	30 06/11/	, 96 LUDWIG	VCOR-001/13U
			- EVOMINEU
000 54 15		B3M1/0602	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
FIVE PALE	JDWARD CAST J ALTO SQUA	RO HUDDLESON & TATUM	DINH, D
	CAMINO REAL	RE.	5
PALO ALTO	CA 94306		2317
•		•	DATE MAILED:
ils is a communicatio	n from the examiner in PATENTS AND TRAD	charge of your application.	06/02/97
This application ha	s been examined	Responsive to communication filed on	This action is made fin.
• •		•	
		this action is set to expire month(s), nse will cause the application to become abando	
•	•		iou. 55 0.5.0. 155
ert I THE FOLLOW	ING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:	
1. Notice of Re	ferences Cited by Exa	aminer, PTO-892. 2. Not	ce of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94
	Cited by Applicant, P		ce of informal Patent Application, PTO-152.
5. Information	on How to Effect Draw	ring Changes, PTO-1474. 6. 🔲	
rtii SUMMARY O	F ACTION		
Claims	1- 20		are pending in the applicatio
Of the at	ove, claims		are withdrawn from consideration
Cialms	<u> </u>		have been cancelled.
			are allowed.
. 🔁 Claims			
. Claims			
. Claims			re subject to restriction or election requirement.
This application	n has been filed with I	nformal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are	acceptable for examination purposes.
Formal drawing	gs are required in resp	onse to this Office action.	
		have been received one (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Pater	
		e sheet(s) of drawings, filed on aminer (see explanation).	has (have) been approved by the
. The proposed o	frawing correction, file	d, has been □appro	ved; disapproved (see explanation).
		in for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified rital no; filed on	copy has been received not been received
		in condition for allowance except for formal matt x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is closed in
1. ☐ Other			

Serial Number: 08/661,530 -2-

Art Unit: 2317

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Pursuant to MPEP 606.01, the title has been changed to read:
--SYNCHRONIZATION IN VIDEO CONFERENCING--.

Claim 11 is depend upon itself. It is assumed to be a dependent of claim 10 for the purpose of the rejection below.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office 7action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4, 7-11, 13-15, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Etherphone system as disclosed by Rangan "Software Architecture for Integration of Video Services in the Etherphone System" and further in view of Maeno "Distributed Desktop Conferencing System (MERMAID) Based on Group Communication Architecture".

As per claims 2-3, Rangan teaches a teleconferencing system essentially as claimed, comprising:

a) an av path [p. 1396 fig.1];

Serial Number: 08/661,530

Art Unit: 2317

b) an AV conference manager for managing video conferencing [p.1397];

- c) a least one of the group consisting of:
 - i) multimedia mail system [p.1402 col.1 last paragraph];
- ii) a multimedia conference recorder [p.1401 "Video File
 Server"];
- d) means for synchronize and recording video image and spoken audio and data during conference [apparent from p.1402 col.1].

Rangan does not specifically disclose a data conference manager for managing data conference. Maeno disclose a teleconferencing integrating data and video conferencing. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a data conference manager with Rangan's system because it would enable participants to view and process multimedia documents simultaneously with voice + video conferencing [Maeno p.0522 col.1 first paragraph].

As per claim 4, Rangan teaches message marker [p.1042 1st paragraph - "annotations"].

As per claim 7, Rangan teaches conference capture tools and annotation tools [p.1396 col.1 lines 39-45 "Tiogavision"].

As per claim 8, Rangan teaches synchronizing and recording and multimedia document storage such that the multimedia document can be retrieve by a participant [p.1402 col.1].

Serial Number: 08/661,530

Art Unit: 2317

As per claim 9, it is apparent that information can be transfer between the mail system, synchronizing and recording and the document storage.

As per claim 10, Rangan teaches relative timing [p.1402 col.1 2nd paragraph "bar of length proportion to the duration of the video" "cursor tracking current position on the bar"].

As per claim 11, Rangan teaches selectively halt and continue the message [apparent form p.1402 col.1 2nd paragraph "any part can be selected and played back"].

As per claims 13-15, and 18-20, they are rejected under similar rationale as for claims 1-4, and 7-11 above.

Claims 5-6, and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Etherphone system as disclosed by Rangan and Maeno further in view of Rosenbaum US patent 5,404,435.

As per claims 5-6, and 16-17, Rangan does not teach tag searcher for searching defined tag in multimedia mail message. Rosenbaum teaches a multimedia document system with searchable tags to enable retrieval of the whole or portion of the multimedia document. Hence, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Rosenbaum with Rangan and thereby arrives at the claimed invention.

Serial Number: 08/661,530

Art Unit: 2317

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Etherphone system as disclosed by Rangan further in view of Milne et al. US patent 5,553,222.

As per claim 12, Rangan teach a teleconference system essentially as claimed. Rangan does not disclose detail of the synchronizing method. Hence, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to look for synchronization teaching.

Milne teaches method for synchronizing multimedia events using system clock and SMPTE clock from AV signal [col.7 lines 50-64]. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teaching of Milne with Rangan because it would have provide the system with effective synchronization of multimedia events [col.1 lines 53-55]. Milne teaches using the AV timer (SMPTE) to control reproduction [col.7 lines 55-60]. Since images do not have timing source, one of ordinary skill in the art would have know to use a data display timer [system timer] to control the reproduction when only images are displayed [col.7 lines 50-53].

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Serial Number: 08/661,530 -6-

Art Unit: 2317

Nakayama et al. US patent 5,363,507 teaches method and system for storing and retrieving collaboration information from teleconference sessions.

Drake et al. US patent 5,550,966 teaches method for capture and playback of AV presentation.

Doll et al. US patent 5,351,276 teaches retrieving audio using control from digital network.

Nolan et al. US patent 5,253,362 and Morgan et al. US patent 5,239,466 teaches methods for annotating document.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Dinh whose telephone number is (703) 305-9655. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 AM - 4:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Lee can be reached at (703) 305-9717. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 308-5359.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Dung Dinh

Patent Examiner

May 23, 1997